

LLI-CV21-5014019-S : SUPERIOR COURT  
JON A. GOODWIN : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF LITCHFIELD  
v. : AT TORRINGTON, CONNECTICUT  
RAYMOND J. MILOT, JR : MARCH 23, 2026

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
EXCERPT  
11:04 TO 11:04, 11:23 TO 11:26

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANN E. LYNCH, JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S :

Representing the Plaintiff:

JON A. GOODWIN  
Self-Represented Party

Representing the Defendant:

ATTORNEY ROBERT SALERNO  
Conti, Levy, Salerno, and Goodrich  
355 Prospect Street  
P.O. Box 239  
Torrington, Connecticut 06790

Recorded and Transcribed By:  
Karen VanDerlyn  
Court Recording Monitor  
50 Field Street  
Torrington, Connecticut 06790

1 THE COURT: So have you provided Mr. Goodwin  
2 with dates six weeks from now when Mr. Milot is  
3 anticipated -- anticipated --to be able to sit for a  
4 deposition?

5 ATTY. SALERNO: Yes, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: All right. And you've received  
7 those, Mr. Goodwin?

8 MR. GOODWIN: I have, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: All right. So I'm going to order  
10 that you pick one of those dates that Attorney  
11 Salerno has provided you and arrange for Mr. Milot's  
12 deposition. That's the first piece of it. That's my  
13 ruling.

14 (End of excerpt.)

15 \* \* \*

16 THE COURT: So I'm not going to -- in fact, to  
17 the extent you're asking me to order Mr. Milot to  
18 produce copies of text messages he had with his  
19 children, I'm denying that request.

20 To the extent you're asking me to order Mr.  
21 Good -- Mr. Milot -- I might have said Mr. Goodwin --  
22 to the extent you're asking me to order Mr. Milot to  
23 produce text messages he's exchanged with his  
24 children, that request is denied.

25 To the extent you're asking me to order Mr.  
26 Milot to produce discovery responses from Ms. Jowdy  
27 to him in the context of the Jowdy versus Milot case,

1           that request is denied. Two reasons. Number one,  
2           I'm not convinced it's reasonably calculated to lead  
3           to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case;  
4           but secondly, and more importantly, Ms. Jowdy is  
5           represented by counsel in the case Goodwin versus  
6           Jowdy, which is docket 24-5016241. The Court notes  
7           that you have a motion pending in that case seeking  
8           the same exact items. I think by asking for Mr.  
9           Milot to produce these items in this case, you're  
10          depriving Ms. Jowdy of her right to have her counsel  
11          weigh in on that request. So that is denied.

12                 Again, to the extent you're asking the Court to  
13          order Mr. Milot to produce his responses to Ms. Jowdy  
14          in the Jowdy versus Milot matter, the Court is  
15          denying that. I do not believe it's reasonably  
16          calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible  
17          evidence.

18                 You may conduct Mr. Milot's deposition at a  
19          mutually convenient time. If something comes up and  
20          there's a scheduling conflict and somebody is  
21          notified a day in advance, I expect that deposition  
22          to be rescheduled.

23                 Anything further?

24                 MR. GOODWIN: Yes, Your Honor.

25                 ATTY. SALERNO: No, thank you, Your Honor. Oh,  
26          sorry.

27                 MR. GOODWIN: I wasn't prepared to argue here

1           today the relevance of these text messages to my  
2           claims against Mr. Milot.

3           THE COURT: You are not, sir. I -- you can  
4           argue what you wish. Short of you giving me a case  
5           directly on point, which you can file a motion to  
6           reargue if you find such a case, this Court will not  
7           order a parent to disclose, to a stranger,  
8           communications they have by text messages with their  
9           minor children.

10          So, sir, unless you have a case directly on  
11          point, the Court is never going to order that. You  
12          understand?

13          MR. GOODWIN: Right. Well, my complaint states,  
14          Your Honor, that he used his minor children to  
15          further his tortuous conduct.

16          THE COURT: Well, sir, you can bring whatever,  
17          you can file whatever motion you wish. I suggest you  
18          bring -- give me a case directly on point if you're  
19          asking me to order any parent to disclose text  
20          communications with their minor children.

21          Anything further?

22          MR. GOODWIN: Yes, Your Honor. There was never  
23          an objection to that.

24          THE COURT: Well, sir, that was brought to my  
25          attention just now, and I am not -- I am saying you  
26          cannot get that. So that is my ruling.

27          Anything further?

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

ATTY. SALERNO: No, thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Court stands adjourned.

(End of excerpt.)

\* \* \*

*Auth  
4/2/26*

LLI-CV21-5014019-S : SUPERIOR COURT  
JON A. GOODWIN : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF LITCHFIELD  
v. : AT TORRINGTON, CONNECTICUT  
RAYMOND J. MILOT, JR : MARCH 23, 2026

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I hereby certify the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription of the audio recording of the above-referenced case, heard in Superior Court, Judicial District of Litchfield, Torrington, Connecticut, before the Honorable Ann E. Lynch, Judge, on the 23rd day of March, 2026.

Dated this 30th day of March, 2026 in Torrington, Connecticut.

*Karen VanDerlyn*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Karen VanDerlyn  
Court Recording Monitor